

From: mike@pennrecord.com
Sent: 7/25/2012 6:16:43 PM

To: "Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US@EPA" <White.Terri-A@epamail.epa.gov>
CC:
Subject: Re: Received your Dimrock communique

Thanks Terri

On Wednesday, July 25, 2012, Terri-A White wrote:

Mike,

This should help clarify your confusion. -- Terri

Throughout this process of sampling wells, which now has included five separate data releases, EPA has reviewed analytical results, and the particular circumstances at each residence, to make determinations on whether the situations presented a health concern, and if a further EPA action was warranted. The cumulative result from those efforts is a review which has shown that with only a few exceptions we did not find levels of hazardous substances in well water that could present a health concern. In those cases where the levels could present a health concern, we found that the residents have now or will have their own treatment systems that can reduce concentrations of contaminants to acceptable levels at the tap.

From: Michael Tremoglie <mike@pennrecord.com>
To: Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 07/25/2012 03:07 PM
Subject: Re: Received your Dimrock communique

I received it thanks.

On Wed, Jul 25, 2012 at 2:52 PM, Terri-A White <White.Terri-A@epamail.epa.gov> wrote:

Hi Mike,

I will get back to you; just confirming/acknowledging receipt of your email. -- Terri

From: Michael Tremoglie <mike@pennrecord.com>
To: Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 07/25/2012 01:49 PM
Subject: Received your Dimrock communique

I thought that Dimrock had been tested already and found to perfectly fine. Why was there a second round of testing? Will there be a third?

Michael P. Tremoglie
mike@pennrecord.com
[610-733-1425](tel:610-733-1425)